

|   |                                |                  |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No.                | Applicant(s)     |
|   | 10/002,560                     | BAY ET AL.       |
|   | Examiner<br>Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. | Art Unit<br>1732 |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Pamela L. Stewart. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*that f record.*

Claims discussed:

*those pending.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*that of record.*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's arguments filed 7-12-2004 are persuasive. Non-elected claims 13-22 were approved to be canceled by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Mark Eashoo  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)